

Sir John Cass Pupil Premium Impact Report 2016-17

Context

The pupil premium is available to Schools and Academies to provide additional support for students in receipt of free school meals or who have been eligible for free school meals at any point in the last six years, looked after children, children adopted from care and a smaller amount of funding is provided for the children of service personnel.

99% of the pupils at Sir John Cass who receive pupil Premium funding do so because of the free school meals criteria. In 2016-17 the breakdown of eligible pupils was:

	Year 11	Year 10	Year 9	Year 8	Year 7	Total
Number	125	142	125	108	62	570
of PP						
% of cohort	68%	72%	65%	54%	30%	58%

Sir John Cass has a high percentage of pupil premium students. The school is measured on how well our Pupil Premium students achieve compared with Non Pupil Premium students the funding is spent on measures that will help Pupil Premium students achieve outstanding achievement and progress.

In 2016-17 the Pupil premium funding was spent on the following:

Academic Tutors, Learning support Assistants for PP SEND students, Extension classes, holiday and Saturday intervention classes, support for PP students in the LSU, the Education Psychology Service (LBTH Service level agreement) SEN additional Support (LBTH service level Agreement and Attendance and Welfare Support (LBTH Service Level agreement)

Year 11 2016-17 Progress Performance figures

	Pupil Premium students	All students
Progress 8 Score	0.11	0.28
Progress 8 score EN	-0.03	0.17
Progress 8 MA	0.24	0.39
Progress 8 EBACC slots	0.25	0.43
Progress 8 – Open slots	-0.01	0.11

Progress 8 is the new accountability measure that measure progress across 8 subjects. This is a value added measure whereby students' results are compared to students who had the same prior attainment score at Key Stage 2

Our progress 8 figures show that overall our Pupil Premium students have made more than expected progress. However – progress in English and the open slots were very slightly negative, meaning in these areas progress was roughly in line with expectations. And in all areas progress was greater for Non Pupil Premium students.

Year 11 2016-17 Attainment Performance figures

	Pupil Premium students	All students
Attainment 8 average Score	47.36	49.84
Attainment 8 score EN	9.87	10.43
Attainment 8 MA	9.39	9.90
Attainment 8 EBACC slots	13.24	14.08
Attainment 8 Open slots	14.87	15.44

Attainment 8 is the average measure of each individual students attainment across their 8 best performing subjects at GCSE. This table shows that again whilst Pupil Premium students have attained good grades there is still a gap between PP and non PP.

Pupil premium allocation 2016-17 and its impact on achievement

Objective	Tasks and activities	Amount	Impact
,	undertaken	spent	'
	Academic tutors providing	£255,084	65.4% of PP pupils achieved
	targeted one to one and		5A*-C including at least a
% of PP Pupils	small group intervention		grade 4 in English and Maths
achieving 5A*-C			
including EM to	Learning support	£204,067	
exceed the national	assistants providing		
average – 64.6%	support for SEND PP pupils		
% of Pupil premium			61% of pupils made at least
students achieving	Period 6 extension classes,	£90, 561	expected progress in Maths
expected progress in	Saturday classes and		
English and Maths to	holiday classes		63% of pupils made at least
be both above			expected progress in English
national average	LSU behaviour unit –	£55,196	
Attainment 8 score	support for PP students in		PP students achieved
average of PP	the unit		average of 47.36
students to exceed			
national average	Education Psychology	£7,774	
	Service (LBTH service level	,	
	agreement)		
	SEN additional support	£13,336	
	(LBTH service level	213,333	
	agreement)		
	Attendance and welfare	£6,100	
	support (LBTH service level	20,100	
	agreement)	Total	
		expenditure	
		2016-17	
		£632,119	
		2032,113	

<u>Year 11 results 2017 – a more detailed comparison of attainment between Pupil Premium and non-pupil Premium</u>

Eng	lish	Entered		Standard (9 – 4)		Strong (9 – 5)	
Name	Pupils	#	%	#	%	#	%
PP	125	125	100	104	83.2	83	66.4
Non	69	69	100	61	88.41	53	76.81
PP							
PP	56	56	0	43	-5.21	30	-10.41
Gap							

Mathematics		Ente	Entered		Standard (9 – 4)		trong (9 – 5)
Name	Pupils	#	%	#	%	#	%
PP	125	125	100	94	75.2	64	51
Non PP	69	69	100	54	82.61`	43	62.32
PP Gap	56	56	0	37	-7.41	21	-11.12

Science Entered		ered	Standard (A* - C)		
Name	Pupils	#	%	#	%
PP	125	87	69.6	76	60.8
Non PP	69	53	76.81	46	66.67
PP Gap	56	34	-7.21	30	-5.87

Humanities		En	tered	Stan	dard (A* - C)
Name	Pupils	#	%	#	%
PP	125	108	86.4	78	62.4
Non	69	64	92.75	45	65.22
PP					
PP	56	44	-6.35	33	-2.82
Gap					

Languages		En	tered	Standard (A* - C)		
Name	Pupils	#	%	#	%	
PP	125	123	98.4	81	64.8	
Non	69	69	100	46	66.67	
PP						
PP	56	54	-1.6	35	-1.87	
Gap						

The gap in attainment is very minor in languages and humanities and whilst it is more pronounced with Science, Mathematics and English our pupil premium students have traditionally achieved at a much higher rate than the national average. The gap is most pronounced in maths and English when you look at the 'strong' pass rate which uses the new 5 score instead of 4.

Performance by grade:

	Grades 9-8/A*	Grades 9-7/A	Grades 9-5/B	Grades 9-5/C	Grades 9-4
Pupil Premium	2.91%	14.83%	53.06%	61.77%	76.76%

Non PP	5.58%	24.7%	66.73%	73.51%	83.27%
Gap	-2.67%	-9.97%	-13.67%	-11.73%	-6.51%

Here you can see that whilst our Pupil Premium students have achieved at very high grades in every area the non Pupil Premium have achieved even better which creates the gaps in every category.